

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/783,763	02/20/2004	Whonchee Lee	108298758US 7390	
25096	7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS C	COIE LLP	CHEN, KIN CHAN		
PATENT-SE P.O. BOX 12	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/783,763	LEE, WHONCHEE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kin-Chan Chen	1765		

	Kin-Chan Chen	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially i	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	<b>,</b>	-,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	•		•
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
<del></del>		K. (ADL	
		Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: According to the continuum principle of fluid mechanics, the amount of polishing liquid is controlled through out the channel. Therefore, the rejection of claims 25-31 is maintained.